



Queued Service Observations with ESPADOnS

Phase 1 Proposal Submission for Semester 2009A



Updated 08/13/08

Table of
Contents

A - Introduction

- The QSO Project
- Document Outline
- An important note on non-sidereal tracking and guiding **New!**

B - Applying for ESPADOnS Time

- Programs: Q or not Q?
- Types of QSO Programs
- Proposal Submission Phases

C - Phase 1: Instructions for Proposal Submission with Poopsy

D - Calibrations

E - Program Evaluation

F - The ESPADOnS Exposure Time Simulator

G - Other Issues

- Night Reports
 - Data Evaluation
 - Data Distribution
 - Proprietary Period
 - The QSO Team
-

A - Introduction [\[Back to Table of Content\]](#)

(1) The QSO Project

The main concept behind the queue observation scheme with ESPADOnS is to perform observation programs only during sky conditions or time constraints required to meet their science goals, as defined

by the investigators. This can only be achieved if the programs are all grouped together in a database and are selected appropriately according to a set of constraints, rules and sky conditions. Programs are then carried out by a well trained, local team of observers in a service mode (i.e. investigators are not present at the observatory).

During 1999, CFHT has started a project to implement the necessary software and to review all the issues for achieving a queue/service observing mode with its CFH12K mosaic camera. This Queued Service Observations (QSO) Project has been developed in parallel to other projects necessary for the data acquisition (NEO), processing and analysis (Elixir), and archiving and distribution (DADS). The necessary software tools for proposal submission (Phase 2), selection of programs, management of the observations and execution of the observations have all been developed within the QSO Project. Most of these software components are for internal use only except for two obvious exceptions: **Poopsy**, proposals submission tool developed and maintained at CADC, and **PH2**, a Web based tool developed and maintained by CFHT for the second tier of proposal submission (see below).

The QSO mode has been used for CFH12K for over 200 nights between January 2001 and January 2003. Since the semester 2003A, MegaCam has been operated in the queue mode and starting in 2005B, observations with WIRCam have been entirely conducted under QSO as well. The spectropolarimeter ESPaDOnS has been used for several years at CFHT and has produced spectacular science. Since its first semester in QSO mode in 2008A, ESPaDOnS has benefited from QSO since a good ensemble of programs need specific time constraints to be successful. The success of highly ranked programs also remains at the mercy of the weather under the classical mode. For those reasons, ESPaDOnS is now only operated under QSO, with an automated pipeline also available to produce fully processed data. This tutorial explains how the phase 1 proposal submission should be prepared for this new mode of operations with ESPaDOnS.

For technical information, please see the [ESPaDOnS page](#).

(2) Document Outline

This document presents the information for submitting a QSO proposal with ESPaDOnS. A complete description of the submission process with Poopsy and an outline of what will have to be done following the TAC evaluation for the second phase, planned for **Dec 2008 - Jan 2009**, are included. A few QSO Rules used for the selection of the programs are presented and some other issues related to the QSO programs are also discussed.

(3) An Important Note on non-sidereal tracking and guiding

IMPORTANT NOTE : We are working on **non sidereal tracking** (i.e., following a target with non-sidereal rates, but without guiding on stars) and we plan on testing this during the Fall of 2008. If you have questions or concerns related to this option for your 2009A proposal, please do not hesitate to contact the QSO Team (qsoteam --at-- cfht.hawaii.edu).

For more information about the submission of your ESPaDOnS QSO proposal(s), contact the QSO Team qsoteam --at-- cfht.hawaii.edu.

B - Applying for ESPaDOnS Time [\[Back to Table of Content\]](#)

(1) Programs: Q or not Q?

Starting at the semester 2008A, *the QSO mode is the ONLY mode of operation for the ESPaDOnS*. The classical mode is **NOT** offered for ESPaDOnS.

(2) Types of QSO Programs

Many types of programs can benefit from the queue observing mode. Programs requesting excellent or

exceptional sky conditions, surveys, short- or long-term monitoring, target-of-opportunity programs, are all well suited for the QSO mode. Also, contrary to the classical mode, it is now possible to submit very short programs necessitating only a few hours of observations. Programs scientifically valuable during bad sky conditions are also possible. During the submission phase with Poopsy (Phase 1), you are asked to specify what type of programs you are submitting for the QSO mode. These types of programs are defined below:

- **Regular:** This category includes all of the normal QSO programs, with fixed or Solar System objects. For all these programs, the Phase 2 must be completed before a specific deadline prior to the semester with QSO periods. **Only** investigators with Solar System targets or other restrictive constraints might be allowed to modify their observations during the observing semester.
- **Target-of-opportunity (TOO):** For this type of programs, the targets are not known before the beginning of the semester. In fact, the TOO programs are divided into two distinct categories: (1) Programs approved by TAC during the evaluation of all the proposals but for which the targets will be identified during the semester. For these programs, the investigators will have the possibility to define their targets using the appropriate tools developed for submission of the queue observations *during* the semester. (2) Programs submitted during a semester to observe unpredictable objects (e.g. supernova). In that case, the program must first be submitted through a special Web form (available on the QSO page) and approved according to the CFHT policy. If accepted, a certain amount of time is allocated and the information, entered during the Web submission, is used by the QSO Team to prepare and carry out the observations.
- **Snapshot Programs:** In a queue mode, *it is very important to have programs requesting bad sky conditions and low completion level.* Those snapshots program were introduced in the QSO system in 2002 and have been very useful. The definition of a snapshot program for ESPaDOnS is the following:
 1. A snapshot program describes valuable science to be obtained on targets observed only in the **worse sky conditions** (seeing larger than 1.5", preferably during non-photometric conditions)
 2. A snapshot program preferably request simple observations (i.e. sidereal tracking, no monitoring) and can produce useful scientific results even if the completion level of the program is low
 3. A snapshot program should preferably include short blocks of observations
 4. The time allocated for such programs is not accounted for in the statistics of time spent for the different Agencies
 5. The proprietary time for the data is **3 months** following the end of the semester.

Note that snapshot programs have the lowest priority but the chance of such programs to be executed, even partially, during a semester can be quite high.

(3) Proposal Submission Phases

For ESPaDOnS programs in the queued service observing mode, two submission phases are necessary. The first phase (Phase 1) is done through CADP Poopsy and consists in a general description of the program used for the evaluation by the Time Allocation Committee (TAC). This is the "normal" submission procedure for all proposals requesting time at CFHT. The second phase (Phase 2) is requested for ALL the ESPaDOnS queue programs for which telescope time has been allocated by the TAC. As described below, it is done prior to an observing semester (with a few exceptions) through an entirely new Web based tool developed at CFHT. During this phase, all the information necessary for the local staff to perform the observations is entered by the investigators and stored in a database at CFHT.

- **Phase 1: Poopsy**

The first submission process for your queue proposal must be done through CADP's Poopsy. This Web interface has been updated with a special section allowing the submission of QSO programs. As described in the next section, it is very important to include as much information as possible now in your proposal. **In particular, please include in your Technical Justification a table with the number of hours requested per RA bin.** The Poopsy database, located at CADP, is copied at CFHT and the relevant

information for queue programs is parsed to the CFHT queue database. For instance, if you enter all your targets in Poopsy, you will not have to do it again during the Phase 2!

- **Phase 2: PH2**

The second phase aims at gathering all the information necessary for carrying out the observations for queue programs with allocated telescope time. This phase is done using a Web based interface, specially designed and developed for this purpose at CFHT. The tool is populating a relational database which constitutes the "brain" of all of the QSO operational processes. The Phase 2 for semester 2009A should take place around **Dec 2008 - Jan 2009** and should last several weeks. Investigators with programs requesting updated information during a semester (e.g. moving targets, target-of-opportunity) will be allowed access to PH2 during that semester. The Phase 2 for all the other regular programs will have to be completed by the deadline specified by CFHT. Complete information will be made available with the opening of the Phase 2 submission tool.

The PH2 tool is installed on the Web server at CFHT and a replicated, backup version is available at the CFHT summit.

Only investigators with approved QSO programs with ESPaDOnS will be given access to PH2. *The user ID and password used for Poopsy will still be valid for PH2. Try to remember them*

C - Phase 1: Instructions for Proposal Submission with Poopsy [\[Back to Table of Content\]](#)

The current version of Poopsy allows investigators to send proposals for the queue mode. A section has been created which includes some information necessary for TAC evaluation, and for the QSO Team in the preparation of the queue database. Below, we review the questions related to QSO proposals as introduced in this version of Poopsy.

1 - *Are you applying for a queue program with ESPaDOnS?* (Run Info Section)

As explained above, **the queue mode is the only mode of observation for ESPaDOnS**. Answer "Yes" and complete the Queue Section.

2 - *Indicate the type of queue observing program: Regular, Target-of-Opportunity, Snapshot* (Run Info section)

These three types of programs have been reviewed earlier.

3 - *Indicate a global image quality (IQ) constraint describing your program ?* (Run Info section)

The main concern of the queue mode is to observe targets under sky conditions required to meet the science goals defined for each program. During Phase 2, the investigators will have to define precisely observational constraints for their project. However, it is important that a global image quality for each program is defined during Phase 1 as well. An efficient queue can only be achieved if the database contains programs requesting a wide range in constraints, especially on the image quality, and the TAC will strongly consider the choice of image quality indicated here for the overall selection of the queue programs.

For ESPaDOnS, obviously the seeing is not a fundamental constraint, although it plays an important role in the amount of light that can get into the entrance fiber. The exposure time simulator gives a clear idea on the effect of the seeing on the S/N obtained on a given target. The probability for a specific program to be observed in queue mode depends strongly on the image quality requested versus the statistics of the site. The chance that your program is performed is less if you request a seeing of < 1" than if 1.5" is required. So, **do not request a better image quality than what is really needed for your program**. For guidance, the table below describe approximately the seeing statistics on Mauna Kea. These data are for the R-band and were taken with FOCAM at CFHT between 1993-1995 (more recent statistics confirm these values).

Image Quality (IQ)	Frequency (%)
$IQ \leq 1.0''$	80
$1.0'' < IQ \leq 1.5''$	15
$IQ > 1.5''$	5

For your information, the table below gives the average weather statistics for Mauna Kea. Note that the "A" semester is usually more affected by bad weather; time lost during the first few months of the winter can reach level of 50% and even more.

Sky Conditions	Frequency
Usable Nights	~70%
Lost to Weather	~20-30%
Usable Photometric Nights	~50%

4 - *How many hours are required for this queue program for this semester ?* (Run Info section)

A significant difference between the classical and queue modes is the way the observing time is accounted. In a classical mode, nights are usually requested and the total time asked includes the different operational overheads. In a queue mode, the time requested is in **HOURS**, and might or might not include overheads. For queue programs, you can follow these directives:

1. You must request **HOURS** of observations. If the total time of your program is fractional (e.g. 32.4 hr.), please indicate so (.4 hr in a queue mode is possible).
2. In your calculation of integration time, **ONLY** the readout time for the CCD **should be included**. This depends on the readout speed selected (no binning is offered) for each exposure and additional operational overheads: **fast (40 sec)**, **normal(60 sec)**, **slow(85 sec)**. Of course, to acquire one Stokes parameter in polarimetry mode, 4 exposures are needed; calculation should be done accordingly. The appropriate value is automatically charged at the phase 2 level.
3. Slewing and acquisition **SHOULD NOT** be accounted for in your calculations. Detailed instructions regarding the calibrations are given in the next section.

5 - *Scheduling Constraints:* (Run Info section)

Several program with ESPaDOnS need specific dates and times for the observations to be carried out. This information can be precisely defined during the phase 2 period but it is important to include such constraints here because it can significantly influence the scheduling of the instrument on the sky.

6 - *How many additional HOURS would be requested to complete this project ?* (General Info section)

If you are planning to submit an additional proposal for the same program for the subsequent semester (and the following, if necessary), please indicate the number of hours that might be required.

Note: For the Phase 1, the Moon options are used to help us evaluate the best periods for a scheduling a queue observing period covering as many programs as possible. The influence of the Moon on the spectra acquired through the narrow optical fiber is very small.

7 - *Other Instrument Description:* (Instrument section)

ESPaDOnS is an instrument that has 3 Observing Modes, all offered in QSO mode:

- spectroscopy 'star + sky' mode, R=68,000
- spectroscopy 'star only' (no sky), R=80,000
- spectropolarimetry, linear or circular polarization, R=68,000

There are also 3 CCD readout modes offered in QSO mode, with different gains, readout noises, and readout times. **The "XSlow" mode is not be offered in QSO mode.**

Please indicate which observing mode(s) and readout mode(s) are expected to be used for the proposed program.

D - Calibrations [\[Back to Table of Content\]](#)

One of the main advantages of the queue mode scheme is the possibility to share calibrations between a set of programs. To achieve this, a *calibration plan* has been defined and will be carried out regularly by the queue/service observers. **This plan includes the necessary "detrend" frames for removal of the instrument signatures (bias, flat-fields) and wavelength and Fabry-Perot calibrations.** More details can be found on the [Upena pipeline](#) page.

For this semester, you can consider the following situations:

1. No programs under any circumstances are allowed to request "detrend", wavelength and Fabry-Perot calibrations during Phase 1 or Phase 2. These calibrations are exclusively handled by the QSO Team.
2. If your program requires observing spectrophotometric, polarimetric, or telluric standards, you must include these observations in your program. No systematic photometric, spectroscopic or polarimetric calibrations will be done by the QSO Team with ESPaDOnS.

E - Program Evaluation [\[Back to Table of Content\]](#)

Following the submission of your queue proposal, the TAC will review it as in the past. However, the way the queue proposals are classified differ slightly from the ranking used for the proposals for the classical mode of observing. Following the TAC evaluation, your proposal, if accepted, will receive the following grades, ranks and allocated time. This information will be entered by us in the queue database and will be available through PH2 for the second submission phase.

- **TAC Grade:** Your proposal will be first classified in a "grade" category. Details are given in the table below.

Grade	Grade Name	Global Priority	% of Telescope Time
A	"Must-Do"	Highest	30-35
B	"Prioritized"	Good	35-50
C	"Best Effort"	Medium	20-30
S	"Snapshot"	Lowest	*

* Most of all the snapshot programs will be accepted so a given percentage does not make sense

- **Important Note:** Telescope time is scheduled for A + B programs. Programs below the normal evaluation cut-off are generally C and S programs and are considered **for overfilling the queue database**. There is, of course, a good chance for these programs to get some data especially if the conditions requested are realistic and take advantage of poor sky conditions. Final choice of C programs is left to the QSO Team, after revision of the actual constraints imposed by the A+B programs.
- **TAC Rank:** The proposals will be quantitatively ranked with respect to all the proposals included within this group and within this Agency (e.g. 2/5, 3/5, etc.).
- **Integration Time (I-Time):** The TAC will allow a certain number of **hours**, the "integration time", for each approved program. During the Phase 2, this number constitutes an upper limit and is automatically

taken into account by the software in the preparation of the observations.

F - The ESPaDOnS Exposure Time Simulator [\[Back to Table of Content\]](#)

An important component in the preparation of the proposals during Phase 1 and 2 of all the queue mode programs instituted around the world is the availability of a **exposure time simulator**. Since the investigators are not present during the observations, it is crucial for the QSO observers to know that if the observations are undertaken during sky conditions requested, the science goals should be reached because the proposers have verified that using a robust exposure time simulator. It is not always easy to judge the science merit of an exposure frame and this is better accessed by quantitative evaluation.

An exposure time simulator for ESPaDOnS has been developed by Jean-Francois Donati. A Web based interface for the simulator is available [here](#). Note that the space of parameters available in the simulator is much larger than the options offered by QSO. **It is strongly recommended to use the simulator during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the queue programs with ESPaDOnS!**

Exposures times for which the detector becomes non-linear and/or saturates are given in the following table:

A star of Catalog V magnitude...	For the CCD Readout Mode...	Will saturate with individual exposure times above...
0.0	Slow/Normal	4 sec
	Fast	2 sec
1.0	Slow/Normal	11 sec
	Fast	7 sec
2.0	Slow/Normal	30 sec
	Fast	20 sec
3.0	Slow/Normal	75 sec
	Fast	50 sec
4.0	Slow/Normal	180 sec (3 min)
	Fast	120 sec (2 min)
5.0	Slow/Normal	480 sec (8 min)
	Fast	320 sec
6.0	Slow/Normal	1200 sec (20 min)
	Fast	800 sec
7.0	Slow/Normal	3000 sec (50 min)
	Fast	2000 sec
8.0	Slow/Normal	7500 sec (125 min = 2h 05 min) - MAX possible
	Fast	5100 sec (85 min)

G - Other Issues [\[Back to Table of Content\]](#)

(1) Communication and Night reports

The QSO Team has integrated a Email communication system inside PH2 ("HelpDesk") that allows the investigators to send request to the Team during the Phase2. This system is also available during the semester

through PH2. It includes several discussion forums, and keeps tracks of all the communication related to the QSO mode for each program identified by its runID. Even if a bit cumbersome, **using the Helpdesk is much preferable that using a normal email system.** It ensures that everybody related to the observing process is informed.

After each observing night, a report detailing what observations were performed is available on the CFHT Web site. These reports include the observations groups executed and the sky conditions at the time of the observations. This does not mean that your data will be immediately available (see below). The goal of these reports is to inform the community of the progress of the queue and, in particular, the current status of your program.

Current global statistics for a semester are also available on the QSO Web page.

(2) Data Evaluation

As part of the data quality control assessment, all data taken will be automatically processed and calibrated by the Elixir Team. Data evaluation will be done in two steps: during the observation by the Service Observer ("on-line" evaluation) and, during and after the data processing. This last step is very involving and represents one of the reasons why data cannot be distributed immediately after a QSO run, unless specifically justified during the Phase 2 period. If the observations are judged satisfactory, the queue database is then updated by the Queue coordinator.

(3) Data Distribution

Data distribution (raw and processed data) will be ensured by the Upena Team. Our goal is to be able to distribute the data to the PI of each project (or another member if specified during the Phase 2) and the relevant calibrations **by noon the day following observations.**

(4) Proprietary Period

A special procedure is taking place regarding the proprietary period for data obtained with ESPaDOnS in the QSO mode. By default, **the proprietary period of QSO data extends to 1 year + 1 month starting at the end of the QSO semester.** For instance, data taken for the 2009A semester (Feb 1st - July 31 2009) will have a default release date set to **08/31/2010**. If an extension is requested in Poopsy and *approved by TAC*, a new date will be set for this program through the QSO system. The release date for the data is indicated in the fits headers by the keyword REL_DATE. This system applies only to QSO data. **For snapshot programs, the proprietary time is 3 months following the end of the semester.**

The QSO Team members are: Nadine Manset (QSO Manager), Billy Mahoney (Database/System Programmer), Tom Vermeulen (System Programmer), and Mary Beth Laychak, Peter Forshay, Adam draginda, Rachael Zelman, and Todd Burdullis as Service Observers. During a QSO run, supervision is ensured by the QSO Coordinator who, among other things, is responsible for managing the queue database, planning of the observations, and maintaining the contact with the investigators, if necessary. Observations will be conducted by the Service Observer and the Resident Astronomers, with a strong involvement by the Observing Assistants. Software support will also be provided during the observing nights. For TOO programs and decisions related to the viability of some programs, the CFHT Executive Director acts as the final authority.



Need More Information? Contact the QSO Team at qsoteam@cfht.hawaii.edu

